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## 1. Call Meeting to Order

Pledge of Allegiance

## 2. Public Comment Period

All speakers must register prior to call to order;
5-minute maximum per speaker unless advised by Chairman differently; 30-minute total maximum time.
3. Chairman's Comments

## 4. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the May 25, 2022 Board meeting are included below for Board review and approval.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the minutes as presented.
MOTION: Do I hear a motion that the May 25, 2022 minutes of the SPSA Board of Directors meeting be approved as presented?

## MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTHEASTERN PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY OF VIRGINIA

May 25, 2022
The Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Southeastern Public Service Authority (SPSA) was held at 9:30 a.m. in the Regional Board Room at the Regional Building, 723 Woodlake Drive, Chesapeake, Virginia. The following members were in attendance or as noted:

Mr. John Maxwell
(CH)
Ms. Sheryl Raulston
Mr. Dale Baugh
Mr. John Keifer
Mr. C.W. "Luke" McCoy
Mr. Tony Parnell (absent)
Mr. D. Rossen S. Greene ${ }^{2}$
Mr. Thomas Leahy
(IW)
(NO)
(PO)
(VB)

Mr. Earl Sorey (absent)
Ms. Amanda Jarratt
Mr. Randy Keaton ${ }^{1}$
Mr. Richard Broad
Mr. Burle Stromberg
Ms. Lynette Lowe
Mr. Albert Moor (absent
Mr. L.J. Hansen
(CH) Chesapeake; (FR) Franklin; (IW) Isle of Wight; (NO) Norfolk; (PO) Portsmouth, (SH) Southampton County; (SU) Suffolk; (VB) Virginia Beach

Others present at the meeting included Alternate Ex-Officio Members Mr. Michael Etheridge ${ }^{3}$ (IW), Mr. Gary Kelly (VB), Mr. Robert Lewis (SU), Mr. Oliver Love, Jr. (VB), Mr. Greg Martin, (CH), and Mr. Richard Underhill (PO), SPSA executives, Mr. Dennis Bagley, Executive Director, Ms. Tressa Preston, Secretary and Director of Administration, Ms. Sandy Schreiber, Treasurer and Director of Finance, and Mr. Brett Spain, General Counsel.

To accommodate those who could not attend in person, through the meeting notice, members of the public were also invited to listen to, and view presentations displayed at the meeting, by registering for attendance using a GoTo Webinar teleconferencing platform. Members of the public were also invited to speak at the SPSA Board of Directors Meeting during the designated public comment period at the beginning of the meeting by registering in advance with the Secretary through contact information published in the meeting notice. Members of the public were also invited to listen to the SPSA Board Meeting via toll-free telephone.

## 1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Mr. Baugh, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

## 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Preston reported that Mr. Mark Geduldig-Yatrofsky of Portsmouth registered to make a public comment in person:

[^0]Mr. Chair, Honorable Commissioners, and other neighbors; Mark Geduldig-Yatrofsky. I'm a bit harried this morning. There was a major back up on 64 coming in your direction, so I'm glad I got in under the wire. What I would like to request of you is to consider what I call "communal recycling," rather than localities doing it individually. Just as we are doing with our solid waste, generally, on the south side here, to reconsider, because I think you have considered this in the past and for one reason or another found that not a viable option. I'd like you to look at it again and see if there is a way that we can do it as a region or as a part of the region. I understand that recycling has become more and more problematic in recent years. I know that my neighbors in Chesapeake have stop doing it as a curbside collection activity, and there are good economic reasons for their having made that change. I would ask that we use the combined resources of this side of the James localities, of these combined localities, that we use our combined resources to see if we can't find a better way to perform recycling to make it economically feasible and to achieve the goals that were contemplated when recycling was established as a goal of the Commonwealth. Thank you very much.

There were no further public comments.

## 3. CHAIRMAN'S COMMENTS

Chairman Baugh thanked Mr. Geduldig-Yatrofsky for his comments and sharing his vision. On behalf of the Board, he expressed their appreciation for his participation, noting that SPSA's mission is waste disposal, but that recycling is one way to address reducing the amount of waste and that the Board will continue to consider the matter and an ongoing agenda item.

Chairman Baugh went on to thank the Board for their preparation for the meeting and their response when asked to support SPSA's work. He commented that though the agenda was somewhat shorter than in other months there were still important issues, such as the wetlands permitting process, that would be discussed in detail and would require careful consideration. Chairman Baugh added that the topic has been heavily discussed in Executive Committee and that he feels confident that Mr. Bagley and staff are taking the right approach to the situation. He also mentioned that the action that the Board has taken to hire Mr. Bagley and his formation of a new Executive team is impressive and encouraging as SPSA manages the challenges ahead.

As instructed by SPSA Bylaws, Chairman Baugh appointed a Nominating Committee to recommend a slate of officers at the June Board Meeting to be voted on at the Annual Meeting in July. Mr. Keifer will serve as Chair, along with Ms. Jarratt and Mr. McCoy. Chairman Baugh thanked them for volunteering to serve.

## 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the April 27, 2022 Board Meeting had been distributed. Chairman Baugh asked if there were any additions or changes and there were none.

Mr. McCoy moved, seconded by Mr. Keifer, to approve the April 27, 2022 minutes of the SPSA Board of Directors, as presented. The motion was adopted by a unanimous vote in favor.

## 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATES

Mr. Bagley introduced Mr. Alphonso Thompson, HEO at Suffolk Transfer Station, for recognition. Mr. Thompson goes above and beyond to help customers, which has resulted in positive comments about his work. He is described as a dependable, hard-working, and exemplary employee. Mr. Bagley thanked Mr. Thompson and commented that SPSA is lucky to have him as a team member. Chairman Baugh presented him with a SPSA lapel pin and certificate as a token of appreciation.

As an update on discussions with the Navy and WIN waste, Mr. Bagley commented that conversation with the Navy continues, but are somewhat slow moving given the heavy demands on the schedule of the captain that has been put in charge of the project. SPSA hopes to have further contact before the next Board meeting and since SPSA has notified the Navy of its intentions, things continue to move in a positive direction. Future discussion will involve understanding the Navy's preferences and SPSA's plans to move forward post2024.

Mr. Bagley reminded the Board that HRSD submitted the 2020 update to the Regional Solid Waste Management Plan to DEQ in 2020 and has been awaiting their review. This document is crucial to planning for landfill expansion and needs to be in place in order for any permitting to be approved. SPSA and HRPDC have a meeting set up with DEQ to discuss the best way forward in expediting the review and any necessary updates in order to avoid any issues with the permitting process.

April operations at the RDF plan were much improved through WIN/Wheelabrator's efforts and there were no diversions needed in the month of April. Mr. Bagley and staff appreciate their work to keep things running smoothly.

There has been no new information from Mr. Crabbs and IBC Renewables, but Mr. Bagley is still hopeful for the project's success and looks forward to the next update.

The contract for the heat assisted leachate evaporation system contract has been issued and the vendor is actively in the final design and construction of the equipment and estimates completion in nine months. Staff is keeping a close eye on the project and is excited to see it come to fruition.

Mr. Bagley gave a presentation to bring the Board up to date on challenges SPSA is facing with diesel fuel, which is likely a concern to municipalities, as well. Mr. Bagley went over the current contracted vendor's struggles to deliver based on supply chain issues and how staff and the vendor, Dime Oil, are working to rectify the problem. Mr. Bagley explained in detail the purchasing system and contracted rates for fuel, which are not currently feasible for the vendor given the current market. SPSA staff has worked with Dime to amend the current contract so that SPSA is paying the actual cost of fuel plus a service fee. SPSA also may receive deliveries from other vendors. Emergency procurement procedures have been followed to secure necessary fuel, and SPSA operations are prepared to transfer fuel via a SPSA fuel truck in the event that is needed. Mr. Bagley also mentioned that SPSA staff is
reaching out to member communities to explore the possibility of working together for the procurement of fuel.

```
Fuel Supply Issues
SPSA currently has a contract to purchase fuel from Dime Oil.
SPSA pays the average rack price + or - .
- Over the past month we have experienced interruptions in fuel deliveries as a result of global supply chain issues.
SPSA has taken steps to mitigate these interruptions.
Dime Oil notified SPSA Monday night of their desire to terminate the current contract.
Dime Oil claimed the Force Majeure clause in the contract allowed them to terminate.
```



## SPSA's Response

Agreed to amend current contract with Dime Oil from Average Rack Price + or - pricing, to Cost + service fee pricing. Dime Oil has agreed to continue deliveries when available.

Dime Oil will share invoices to ensure that SPSA is paying for actual cost of fuel.

SPSA can receive deliveries from other vendors.
SPSA has issued an emergency PO for fuel deliveries from a separate provider ( 7,000 gallons received to date).
SPSA has secured a third provider to provide fuel to our western stations. An emergency PO will be issued for 500 -gallon deliveries every three weeks.

SPSA staff is capable of transferring fuel within the SPSA network in an emergency.

Talking with member communities to explore ways to work together in the procurement of fuel.

Mr. Bagley invited Ms. Angie Hutchins, Fleet Manager, to elaborate to the Board on the current capacity and plans moving forward. Ms. Hutchins reiterated that staff is taking this situation very seriously and she personally is monitoring and negotiating delivery on a moment-to-moment basis. She also assured the Board that she will not allow SPSA to be without fuel, noting that current capacity was at roughly $55 \%$ but that she will be more comfortable with $80 \%$. She reviewed statistical data about daily fuel use and operational needs. Mr. Bagley added that, in the past, SPSA's operational plan was not to stockpile fuel because supplies were plentiful and ideally fuel should be used as needed, however, as an adjustment to the current market, a strategy for building inventory is in place and he and staff are monitoring the situation very closely. Mr. Bagley offered to answer any questions.

Mr. Leahy asked Mr. Bagley if he had the authority to make contract changes and issue emergency procurement as needed. Mr. Bagley confirmed that he does have the authority to move forward in an emergency situation with proper justification and later presentation to the Board. The Board will be briefed more specifically at the June Board meeting, as this emergency procurement is still in process. Mr. Keifer asked if this trend in fuel is transitory or long-term. Mr. Bagley explained that some in the industry think the issue is political and related to world events, meaning that it will resolve itself in time. Others think the change is more permanent. Unfortunately, Mr. Bagley said, he is not in a place to give any definitive answers, particularly given that different parts of the country are seeing different issues, but he has been told that organizations should prepare for this trend to continue. Mr. Bagley
went on to say that he and staff will continue to keep the Board informed on this critical issue. He asked if there were any more questions, but there were none.

Regarding the Army Corps of Engineers' Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), which is required for wetlands permitting for landfill expansion, Mr. Bagley informed the Board that he believes that the Corps is close to completing their assessment. Additional information that was requested by the EPA has been provided and all feedback seems to indicate a positive resolution. At the Corps' request, SPSA is turning its attention to a proposed mitigation plan.

Mr. Bagley went on to say that, due to the large number of wetlands being impacted, the plan will have to be incredibly complex and creative beyond the standard mitigation hierarchy utilized by the Corps and will likely exceed the estimated budget of $\$ 3.5$ million. Staff continues to reach out to consulting parties and has a goal of creating a mitigation plan that meets federal requirements, but also takes into careful consideration the concerns of local organizations that will be impacted by the project. SPSA engineering consultant, HDR, is working to help form the proposal for mitigation.

Mr. Bagley also informed the Board that staff will be working on a new funding assessment based on the progression of the expansion project, which he presented as a timeline. The Audit Committee will be meeting in June to review investments and ensure that maturities align with funding needs.

Mr. Bagley offered to go into further detail on any subject related to the wetlands project or answer any further questions.


Mr. Hansen thanked Mr. Bagley for his presentation, particularly the projected landfill construction timeline, noting that it is helpful to be able to see a concise picture of all the moving pieces that could shift at any time. Mr. Bagley commented that it is his intention that
the timeline be monitored closely for needed updates and that it be kept as close to accurate as possible at all times. Mr. Bagley offered to answer any further questions, but there were none.

Mr. Henry Strickland delivered the operations report, stating that 339,417 gallons of leachate (or 68 tanker loads) were hauled to HRSD, and 669,851 gallons of leachate (or 50 swimming pools) were pumped to HRSD during the reporting period. He also updated the Board that the first concrete slab for the new RNG facility has been poured and MAS is working diligently on some of the outbuildings required for the plant. Progress is also being made on the construction of the administration building at the Regional Landfill and they should be pouring concrete later in the week, provided the weather cooperates.

Mr. Mike Kelley delivered the environmental report, stating that there were no odor complaints for the reporting period and that all permits, sampling, and monthly reporting is in compliance. Three Household Hazardous Waste special collection events were held in the month of April in the communities of Chesapeake, Norfolk, and Portsmouth. Mr. Kelley went over the sixth component of the Environmental Management System, which is the development of competence, training, and awareness procedures.

Mr. Michael Ponds delivered the safety and risk report, noting that six quarterly site inspections were performed and that all employees have completed their required safety training for the reporting period. There were no incidents or recordable incidents for the reporting period. Mr. Ponds also informed the Board that SPSA was the recipient of a safety grant from its insurance carrier, the Virginia Risk Sharing Association (VRSA), and the funds were used to install a drop-arm barrier gate at the Isle of Wight Transfer Station to control traffic flow and protect both SPSA customers and staff. Mr. Bagley added that VRSA makes this grant available each year and SPSA has received the grant for the past several years.

## 6. WIN WASTE INNOVATIONS PORTSMOUTH MONTHLY REPORT

Mr. Clint Stratton presented the WIN monthly report in person.


WIN Waste Innovations Portsmouth
April 2022 Nity misinnas
Actual Operational Data



Mr. Stratton offered to answer any questions, but there were none.

## 7. FINANCIAL REPORT

Ms. Schreiber informed the Board that, as of April 30, 2022, total revenues exceeded total expenses by approximately $\$ 5.5$ million. Tipping fees fiscal year to date reflect an increase of $7.7 \%$, or approximately $\$ 2.89$ million as compared to FY 2021. Municipal waste tonnages were down approximately $9 \%$ or 37,739 tons as compared to last fiscal year. Commercial tons were up approximately $38 \%$ or 54,368 tons as compared to last year. For the month ending April 30, 2022, total municipal waste was 40,563 tons as compared to 46,976 tons a year ago.

Ms. Schreiber reported that total expenses as of April 30, 2022 were approximately $\$ 37.8$ million, compared to $\$ 35.2$ million in the prior fiscal year. Ms. Schreiber reported that cash balances were at $\$ 59.8$ million, designated as $\$ 3.7$ million in the operating fund, $\$ 6.4$ million, the equivalent of 2 months' operating expenses, in the undesignated fund balance, \$3.1 million for FY 2021 purchase orders, \$3 million for the leachate evaporation system, \$19.1 million in landfill closure, and $\$ 23.8$ million for landfill expansion and the flyover.

Mr. Leahy asked if the actual expenses for FY 2022 appear that they may exceed the overall total budgeted expenses for FY 2022. Ms. Schreiber and Mr. Bagley responded that no, actual expenses, are not anticipated to exceed budgeted expenses. They clarified that individual expense budget lines have had transferred funds, as reported in the Board Agenda each month, but total expenses fall within the total expenses budget and will require no action by the Board.

> Mr. Hansen moved, seconded by Ms. Raulston, to approve the SPSA financial report as presented. The motion was adopted by a unanimous vote in favor, with the exception of Mr. Greene, who was temporarily absent from the meeting.

## 8. CONTRACTS

Ms. Preston presented contracts for the Board's consideration. The new contract was for Service and OEM Caterpillar replacement parts for heavy equipment, which was procured under a Sourcewell contract. Based on actual per-service rates, $\$ 375,000$ to $\$ 400,000$ is budgeted for this contract. Staff was able to negotiate a reduced service price and discount for parts. Ms. Preston explained to the Board that using original equipment manufacturer
parts (OEM) allows SPSA to maintain the warranty status of the machines, as well as have confidence in the quality of the parts and service work. Ms. Preston offered to answer any questions, but there were none.

Mr. Hansen moved, seconded by Ms. Jarratt, to authorize the Executive Director to award a contract to Carter Machinery for Service \& OEM Caterpillar Replacement Parts, as presented. Mr. McCoy abstained from voting due to a familial relationship with Carter Machinery. With the exception of Mr. McCoy's abstention, the motion was adopted by a unanimous vote in favor.

Ms. Preston presented three renewal contracts for review. The first was for FY 2023 Property, Liability, and Workers' Compensation Insurance with VRSA, which is budgeted at $\$ 315,140$ and reflects $7.6 \%$ overall decrease from FY 2022. The second was for the first of four option years for laboratory analysis services with Enthalpy Analytical, budgeted at $\$ 90,000$ based on FY 2022 per-service rates. The final contract was for the first of four option years for truck tires with Colony Tire, budgeted at $\$ 146,000$ based on FY 2022 perservice rates.

Mr. Hansen asked if there was a price adjustment associated with the renewal for the contract for truck tires. Ms. Preston confirmed that there is a price increase that was outlined in the original bid for the first option year. Mr. Bagley went on to say that the contract allows for an adjustment if CPI increases more than $5 \%$, but that was not exercised in this case. Mr. Leahy asked for confirmation that the renewal contracts being approved have not been materially altered from the original contracts presented to the Board. Ms. Preston confirmed that was correct. Mr. McCoy asked if the truck tire contract being presented for renewal was the one that was contested in its original form. Mr. Bagley confirmed that was correct, but that the contract that was approved the previous year had been fully vetted by legal counsel and all issues were resolved before the Board voted on the original contract last year.

Mr. Hansen moved, seconded by Mr. Leahy, to authorize the renewal contracts, as presented. Ms. Jarratt, who recently joined the VRSA Board, and Mr. Greene, whose law firm performs work for VRSA, abstained from voting due to their professional relationships with VRSA. With the exception of Ms. Jarratt and Mr. Greene's abstentions, the motion was adopted by a unanimous vote in favor.

For the Board's information, as required by the SPSA procurement policy, Ms. Preston listed contracts awarded at a value of between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 100,000$. Recent changes to the policy allow the Executive Director to award contracts up to $\$ 100,000$. These contracts included ground maintenance services, household hazardous waste disposal, solid rubber cutting edges, and security services at the regional landfill. Ms. Preston offered to answer any further questions, but there were none.

## 9. OTHER BUSINESS

Chairman Baugh asked if there was any other business, but there was none. He thanked SPSA staff for their presentations noting that he appreciates the work they do.

## 10. ADJOURN MEETING

There being no further business to come before the Board of Directors, the regular meeting was adjourned at 10:48 a.m.

## 5. Nominating Committee Report

Mr. Keifer, Chair of the Nominating Committee, will inform the Board of the Nominating Committee's recommendation for a slate of officers to be voted on at the Annual Meeting in July.

## 6. Audit Committee Report

Ms. Lowe, Chair of the Audit Committee will give a brief review of the Audit Committee Meeting that took place on June 10, 2022.

Audit Committee Minutes - December 17, 2021

## Audit Committee Minutes Southeastern Public Service Authority of Virginia December 17, 2021

A meeting of the Audit Committee was held at 2:00 p.m. in the second-floor conference room of the SPSA Regional Office Building in Chesapeake, Virginia and was called to order by Committee Chairman Lynette Lowe. Other Committee Members present at this meeting were Thomas Leahy and John Maxwell. SPSA Board Chairman, Dale Baugh listened to the meeting by phone. Also in attendance were Executive Director, Liesl R. DeVary, Deputy Executive Director, Dennis Bagley, Accounting Manager, Sandy Schreiber, Executive Administrator, Tressa Preston, and Financial Advisor, Josh Spain of Raymond James.

## Discussion of SPSA Investments

Ms. DeVary introduced Mr. Spain to Mr. Bagley, Ms. Schreiber, and the Audit Committee. She explained that, as was approved by the Board at the December 8, 2021 Board Meeting, the Audit Committee may provide oversight for investments and other financial matters should staff need assistance in the interim period before a Chief Financial Officer is hired. The purpose of the meeting was to familiarize the Audit Committee with SPSA's investments.

Ms. DeVary reviewed SPSA's Investment Policy, which is directly in keeping with Virginia state law. The policy is designed to protect against credit risk, interest rate risk, and custodial credit risk. Ms. DeVary stated that the policy could be expanded, if necessary, as it is fairly basic. She went on to say that investments are selected so that there is virtually no risk of lost principal and investments are generally held until maturity. She provided a list of current investments, as of December 15, 2021, which currently number about 38.

Ms. DeVary suggested that Mr. Spain explain how he came to provide investment services to SPSA. Mr. Spain responded that SPSA is a customer of Towne Bank and Towne Bank has a reciprocal arrangement with his brokerage, Raymond James. Ms. DeVary was referred to Mr. Spain by Towne Bank.

Mr. Spain presented a portfolio analysis of all of SPSA's investments as of December 14, 2021. Mr. Spain highlighted that SPSA is at very low credit risk as most line items are FDIC insured. As is required by the Code of Virginia, and the investment policy, all corporate bonds are rated AA or AAA. Ms. DeVary commented that, in previous years, SPSA could earn interest in their money market account, but with interest rates being so low, that is not currently a good investment strategy. She also went on to say that SPSA pays no fees to Towne Bank,
but money left in that account that is not needed for immediate use is regularly transferred to SPSA's Virginia Investment Pool (VIP) account.

Mr. Spain walked the Committee through the portfolio analysis. He had created a portfolio summary and graph showing portfolio composition comparison, as well as a cashflow distribution graph that also referenced composition. Mr. Spain discussed a call likely analysis which showed that it is unlikely that any of SPSA's current investments will be called before maturity. He also presented Corporate Quality Distribution and Municipal Quality Distribution from Moody's and S\&P in both chart and graph form.

In discussion with the committee, it was clarified that, although SPSA's Investment Policy lists maximum portfolio percentages for each security, according to the Code of Virginia, those are recommendations, not mandates. Ms. DeVary also drew attention to the fact that none of SPSA's current investments exceed five years' maturity.

It was agreed upon that, because SPSA has so few investments maturing in the coming months, the initial plan will be for the Audit Committee to meet on a quarterly basis. It was reiterated that the role of the Audit Committee will be to provide oversight and guidance, as necessary, not to make decisions. When action needs to be taken, Mr. Spain will continue to send Mr. Bagley, as Interim Executive Director, a list of recommended options, as he has been doing with Ms. DeVary. If guidance is required or major changes need to occur, Mr. Bagley can request a meeting with the Audit Committee, based on public meeting guidelines. Mr. Spain commented that he also works with the City of Virginia Beach and the City of Chesapeake, so he is in the area regularly and can meet whenever the Committee chooses. When a Chief Financial Officer is hired, the Audit Committee can reassess the need for their additional involvement in investment and financial matters.

The Committee thanked Mr. Spain for his presentation. Having no further business for the Committee, Chairman Lowe adjourned the meeting at 2:50 p.m.

## 7. Executive Director Updates

## Attachments:

- Landfill Operational Summary
- Executive Committee Minutes - April 20, 2022

Landfill Operational Summary

| SPSA Regional Landfill Operational Summary |  |  | KEY: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | May 1, 2022 to May 31, 2022 |  | Change Since Last Report |  |  |
|  |  |  | All is Well |  |  |
|  |  |  | Working On |  |  |
|  |  |  | Noted Issue |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Item | Metric Item | Metric | Performance/Comment | Status Code | Comments/Corrective Actions |
| 1 | Regulatory Compliance |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Notice of Violations | None | In Compliance |  | No issues during the reporting period. |
| 1.2 | Environmental compliance and reporting | Compliance | All submissions made. |  | No issues during the reporting period. |
| 1.2.1 | Solid waste operations | Compliance | In Compliance |  | GP-1s exceddance for methane $5 / 18$ reported on 5/19 |
| 1.2.2 | Title V | Compliance | In Compliance |  | New EPA regulations have been issued. Still awaiting VDEQ's final decision on whether they will accept those regulations as written or enforce more stringent limits as allowed by the new EPA rules. RCA issued on 5/19/2022 following inspection on 5/12/2022 for Wheel Wash or Equivalent. |
| 1.2.3 | VPDES | Compliance | In Compliance |  | New Permit was issued as of October 1st, 2020 |
| 1.2.4 | Financial Assurance | Compliance | In compliance |  |  |
| 1.2.5 | Wetlands | Compliance | In Compliance |  |  |
| 1.2.6 | Groundwater | Compliance | In Compliance |  |  |
| 1.2.7 | Storm water system operating and in compliance with VPDES permit | Systems operational and in good order | In Compliance |  | In compliance. |
| 2 | Landfilling Operations |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Tonnage Landfilled | Actual Tons | 35,519 |  |  |
| 2.2 | No. staff | 13.5 | 8.5 |  | 5 vacancies: 2 Landfill Equipment Operators, 1 Solid Waste Assistant, 1 Environmental Tech, , 1 Environmental Specialist |
| 2.2 | Equipment Utilization | Key equipment fully operational and deployed | All equipment operating and deployed with appropriate spares |  |  |
| 3 | Cover Operations |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Total Cover Material Received | Actual Tons | 15,071 |  |  |
| 3.2 | Date of last aerial survey | 12/12/2019 |  |  |  |
| 3.3 | December 2020 Operational InPlace Density (lbs./cy) | 1,600-1,800lbs/cy | $\begin{aligned} & 1,903 \text { lbs./cy or } \\ & \text { AUF }=.94 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Airspace Study completed January <br> 2022 |
| 3.4 | December 2020 Effective InPlace Density (lbs./cy) | 1,600 lbs./cy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,997 llos./cy or } \\ & \text { AUF }=.99 \end{aligned}$ |  | Still well above targeted long term airspace utilization. |


| $\mathbf{4}$ | Leachate Management |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.1 | Pump stations operational during <br> period | 100 \% <br> Operational | All pump stations are <br> operational, pump <br> performance is being <br> monitored, and <br> repairs/replacements made <br> as necessary. |  | All vaults are operational. |

Executive Committee Minutes - April 20, 2022

# Executive Committee Minutes Southeastern Public Service Authority of Virginia April 20, 2022 

A regular meeting of the Executive Committee was held at 9:30 a.m. in the second-floor conference room of the SPSA Regional Office Building in Chesapeake, Virginia and was called to order by Chairman Dale Baugh. The other Executive Committee Members present at this meeting were Ms. Sheryl Raulston, Mr. John Keifer, and Mr. Randy Keaton. Also in attendance were Executive Director, Dennis Bagley, Interim CFO, Sandy Schreiber, Director of Administration, Tressa Preston, and General Counsel, Brett Spain. Board Member Luke McCoy was present but gave commentary only when requested to do so by the Chairman.

## Items for Discussion:

Chairman's Comments
Chairman Baugh informed those present that Board Member Tom Leahy would be monitoring the meeting by telephone, but that he would not be participating. He went on to say that, from his perspective, it had been a quiet month, which he took as a sign that leadership was performing well. Chairman Baugh reported that he and staff continue their efforts to optimize the time spent in meetings.

1. Review and approve Executive Committee Minutes of March 16, 2022

Mr. Keifer moved, seconded by Ms. Raulston, to approve the minutes of March 16, 2022. The motion was adopted by a unanimous vote in favor of all Executive Committee members present.
2. Executive Director Updates
a) Upcoming Board Meeting Agenda New Action Items

The first item on the April Meeting agenda will be the public hearing on the proposed schedule of fees and charges that would go into effect on July 1,2022. Mr. Bagley informed the Committee that the hearing had been noticed and advertised as required. At the conclusion of the public hearing, Mr. Bagley will make another brief presentation on the fiscal year 2023 budget, allowing time for questions and comments, and then asking for votes to approve the schedule of fees and charges, the FY 2023 budget, and to adopt a resolution updating the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). The changes to the SOP are minimal and reflect operational updates that the Board is already aware of, including Mas Energy's acquisition of Suffolk Energy Partners and SPSA hauling waste to Atlantic Landfill to save airspace at the Regional Landfill. Prompted by a question from Mr. Keaton, Mr. Bagley confirmed that under the budget's proposed pay scale, all SPSA employees' salaries will be above the proposed changes to minimum wage.

The Bylaw updates that were reviewed at the March Board meeting will be up for discussion and a vote at the April meeting. The Cost Sharing agreement with HRSD has been modified based on requests made at the March meeting and in alignment with the finalized contract with Heartland Water Technology for the heat assisted leachate evaporation system, which will also be brought to the Board. The final cost for the project is $\$ 6,999,000$ with SPSA contributing $\$ 3$ million and HRSD funding the remaining
\$3,999,000. HRSD's General Manager spoke with his long-time colleague, Board Member Tom Leahy, about HRSD's intentions on this project. The Committee discussed future costs, staffing, and operational details for the evaporation system and commented that this endeavor is good for both SPSA and HRSD.
b) Ongoing Update Items

At the April Board Meeting, the Board will also hear updates on the Army Corps of Engineers' Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), which is required for wetlands permitting for landfill expansion. Unfortunately, the DEIS, which was scheduled to be sent to SPSA for review on April $15^{\text {th }}$ has been delayed so that the Corps may incorporate comments from the EPA. SPSA is working on initial mitigation plans and has been in contact with Chief Anderson of the Nansemond Nation and their attorneys, Cultural Heritage Partners. Conversations have been fruitful, and staff looks forward to continuing the relationship with the Nansemond Nation and other consulting parties, such as environmental and conservation groups. The Committee discussed possible mitigation efforts, including looking to public lands for possible restoration.

Discussions with the Navy on their plans for the WIN property and easements post-June 2024 continue but have been limited due to the busy schedule of SPSA's Navy contact. Mr. Bagley hopes to have an update on Friday, April $22^{\text {nd }}$ to better understand the plan forward. Once there is greater clarity, Mr. Bagley intends to request a meeting with the Mayor of Portsmouth to continue discussions. The Committee discussed the logistics of using the existing WIN site as a tipping floor for the City of Portsmouth and what steps could be taken toward an economically and operationally sound solution.

Since the last Board meeting, Mr. Bagley and staff have met with Ray Crabbs of IBC Renewables. Mr. Crabbs recently informed Mr. Bagley that his company appears to have found a solution to their funding gap through selling their property and leasing it back from the new owners. The 45-day due diligence period associated with the sale has begun and Mr. Crabbs is hopeful that they can begin construction shortly thereafter. As always, Mr. Bagley is being incredibly cautious about fully vetting any new technology advancements, but should Mr. Crabbs be successful, it would be of great benefit to the region.

There continue to be operational issues at the WIN Waste facility in Portsmouth with diversions of waste being required 14 days in the month of March, which will require more than 3000 tons of waste to be backhauled from the Regional Landfill to the WIN facility. While the contract with WIN/Wheelabrator includes options to potentially address some of the plant issues from a legal standpoint, Mr. Bagley and staff believe that, at this time, that route would be costly, contentious, and ultimately not successful in achieving SPSA's goal of conserving landfill airspace and keeping costs reasonable. Mr. Bagley outlined a plan to work with WIN so that when a diversion from their plant is necessary, SPSA would haul an equal amount of waste directly to the Atlantic Landfill, eliminating the need for backhauling. This plan would allow SPSA greater control over day-to-day operations and reduce risk in both hauling liability and employee morale perspectives, while managing airspace concerns at no extra cost to SPSA. The Committee discussed the plan and Mr. Bagley will present it to the full Board at the April meeting.

## 3. Closed Session

Motion to Approve Request for Closed Meeting.
Chairman Baugh read the following closed session motion:

I move that a closed session be held for (a) the purpose of discussing and considering prospective candidates for the position of Chief Financial Officer/Finance Director, including discussion of the possible assignment, appointment, and/or promotion of specific public employees to fill that position, in accordance with Virginia Code Section 2.2 3711(A)(1); (b) discussion regarding comments and inquiries received from the Army Corps of Engineers with respect to possible mitigation efforts in relation to the permitting of Cells VIII and IX, in accordance with (i) Virginia Code Section 2.2 $3711(A)(3)$ for the purpose of discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; and (ii) Virginia Code Section $2.23711(A)(8)$ for the purpose of consulting with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel.


#### Abstract

Mr. Keaton moved, seconded by Ms. Raulston, to approve the closed session motion as read. The motion was adopted by a unanimous roll call vote in favor by all Executive Committee members present. Mr. McCoy and Mr. Leahy (by phone) observed the closed meeting. SPSA staff present for the main meeting attended the closed session, except that Ms. Preston and Ms. Schreiber did not attend the portion of the closed session where personnel matters were discussed.


Motion to Approve Certification after Closed Meeting.
The Executive Committee of the Southeastern Public Service Authority of Virginia hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge: (a) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and (b) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting just concluded.

Ms. Raulston moved, seconded by Mr. Keaton, to approve certification as read. The motion was adopted by a unanimous roll call vote in favor by all Executive Committee members present.
4. Ongoing Concerns Update and May Board Meeting

Mr. Bagley briefly mentioned again that staff has been working on a proposal for an e-waste program that will be ready to present when time allows. Looking forward to the May Board meeting, staff will be presenting contract renewals that need Board approval, as well as informing the Board of contracts that the Executive Director has the authority to renew. Chairman Baugh will appoint a Nominating Committee at the May meeting to offer recommendations for Board Officers to be nominated for election at the annual meeting in July.
5. Meeting Adjourned at 11:59 a.m.

## 8. WIN Waste Innovations - Portsmouth Monthly Report

Presented by Mr. Clint Stratton, Plant Manager

June 14, 2022

Dennis Bagley
Southeastern Public Service Authority
723 Woodlake Drive
Chesapeake, VA 23320

Dear Mr. Bagley:

Please find attached the Monthly Report for WIN Waste Innovations facility required by Schedule 15 of the Service Agreement. Should you have any questions on any of the items covered herein please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Clint Stratton
Plant Manager, WIN Waste Innovations
enclosure
WIN Waste Innovations
TONNAGES REPORT

| MONTH | SPSA ACCEPTABLE WASTE (TONS) | THIRD PARTY ACCEPTABLE WASTE (TONS) | OUT-OF-STATE WASTE (TONS) | OUTSIDE-AREA WASTE (TONS) | TOTAL TONNAGE | NAVY STEAM DELIVERED (K-LBS) | ALL WASTE ACCEPTED AT RDF (TONS) | NP REMOVED FROM RDF (TONS) | PROHIBITED WASTE | RDF WASTE DIVERTED TO LANDFILLS (TONS) | PROCESSED FORMULA | Residue DELIVERED TO LANDFILL (TONS) | MW SOLD TO THE GRID (MWHr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAN | 43,183.39 | 4,999.67 | 797.75 | - | 48,980.81 | 84,466 | 48,980.81 | 5,047.58 | - | 1,654.83 | 42,826.75 | 11,509.39 | 9,007 |
| feb | 40,252.27 | 8,191.44 | 882.92 |  | 49,326.63 | 78,516 | 49,326.63 | 6,033.07 | - | - | 43,372.83 | 9,742.97 | 9,264 |
| MAR | 45,173.01 | 7,079.01 | 784.50 |  | 53,036.52 | 74,842 | 53,036.52 | 5,016.54 | - | 5,024.07 | 45,179.74 | 9,512.18 | 10,823 |
| APR | 45,357.05 | 1,411.05 | 971.27 |  | 47,739.37 | 56,361 | 47,739.37 | 3,112.18 | - | 7,254.42 | 44,990.03 | 13,284.39 | 14,694 |
| MAY | 50,930.18 | 229.26 | 1,286.27 |  | 52,445.71 | 47,332 | 52,445.71 | 5,559.36 |  | 4,780.55 | 42,708.09 | 14,234.85 | 10,500 |

54,288.00
$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$
to'LLO'6tz
$\angle 8$ ' $\varepsilon L^{\prime}$ ' $8 \tau$
$\varepsilon \iota^{\prime} 89$ C't $^{\prime}$
to 0 6zs'tsz
$00^{\circ} \angle \tau S^{\prime}$ 't $\varepsilon$
to 0 '6zs'Tsz

4,722.71

21,910.43

224,895.90

YTD TOTAL
WIN Waste Innovations
AVAILABILITY
CALENDAR YEAR 2022

| MONTH | BOILER <br> AVAILABILITY (\%) | BOILER PLANNED OUTAGES (HRS) | BOILER UNPLANNED <br> OUTAGES (HRS) | tURBINE AVAILABILITY | TURBINE PLANNED OUTAGES (HRS) | TURBINE UNPLANNED <br> OUTAGES (HRS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAN | 84.0\% | 0 | 489 | 99.97\% | 0 | 5 |
| FEB | 84.0\% | 150 | 277 | 95.0\% | 96 | 0 |
| MAR | 79.0\% | 287 | 343 | 93.0\% | 113 | 34 |
| APR | 86.0\% | 179 | 238 | 93.0\% | 72 | 74 |
| MAY | 77.0\% | 0 | 682 | 96.0\% | 0 | 95 |
| JUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AUG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nov |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YtD TOtAL |  |  | 2,029.00 |  |  | 208.00 |

## WIN Waste Innovations

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## May 2022

| Total Waste received by SPSA: | 50,930 tons |
| :--- | ---: |
| Waste delivered to RDF: | 52,446 tons |
| Total RDF processed: | 42,708 tons |
| Residue sent to landfill: | 14,235 tons* |
| Electric power sold: | $10,500 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| Steam sold to Navy: | $47,332 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{LBS}$ |
| Overall Boiler availability: | $77 \%$ |
| Overall Generator availability: | $96 \%$ |
| Environmental Incidents | 0 |

OSHA Recordable Accidents this period: ..... 0
OSHA Recordable Accidents YTD: ..... 0

## General Notes:

* This total includes 6,105.24 tons of non-qualifying residue delivered to the landfill this period, qualifying residue $57.11 \%$ for this period. Driven by downtime on both screeners and running into storage issues.

Boiler USDT driven by TG arrester issues where we had to keep boilers offline (261 hours), Boiler 1 FD fan motor and drive issues ( 135 hours) and Boiler 4 grate issues ( 79 hours).

## WIN Waste Innovations

Monthly Report for the Month of May 2022

This report is submitted in accordance with the Waste Disposal \& Services Agreement, section 3.17.1.

## Environmental Issues:

Contractor has not received any notices in violation (NOVs) or orders, and no such NOVs, proceedings, orders or investigations are pending, for the subject period.

Tests Completed:

Monthly Industrial Discharge Samples
Fuel Oil Sample
Quarterly Ash Test

Tests Planned:

Monthly Industrial Discharge Samples
Fuel Oil Sample
Storm Water Sample
Annual Stack Test
Quarterly GHG Test
Quarterly Ash Test
Inspections:

None

## Air Quality Violations:

None

## Safety Issues:

| OSHA Recordable Accidents this period: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| OSHA Recordable Accidents YTD: | 0 |
| Lost Time Accidents this period: | 0 |

## Op Stats: See attached spreadsheet

Upcoming Planned Outages:

| 2022 Outages |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| January | No outage |
| February 13-20 | Boiler 3 |
| March 13-20 | Boiler 4 |
| April 11-21 | Boiler 1 |
| May | No outage |
| June 12-19 | Boiler 2 |
| July 11-19 | Boiler 3 |
| August 15-22 | Boiler 4 |
| September 19-26 | Boiler 1 |
| October | No outage |
| November 14-22 | Boiler 2 |
| December | No outage |


| WIN Waste Innovations RDF and WTE Operational Summary |  |  | KEY: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provided by WIN Waste Innovations |  |  | Change Since Last Report |  |  |
| May 1, 2022 - May 31, 2022 |  |  | All is Well |  | TIO |
|  |  |  | Working On |  |  |
|  |  |  | Noted Issue |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORMANGE FOR THE PLANET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Item | Metric Item | Metric | Performance | Status | Comments |
| 1 | Regulatory Compliance |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Notice of Violations | Compliance | In Compliance |  | No issues during reporting period |
| 1.2 | VPDES | Compliance | In Compliance |  | No issues during reporting period |
| 1.2.1 | Solid waste operations | Compliance | In Compliance |  | No issues during reporting period |
| 1.2.2 | Title V | Compliance | In Compliance |  | No issues during reporting period |
| 2 | RDF Tipping Floor Operations |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Wait Times | $<30$ min |  |  | TG arrester, \#1 FD fan drive failure mainly drove USDT. Diverted tonnages through MBI and direct commercial to manage floor \& pit volumes |
| 2.2 | Floor Volume | <1,500 Tons |  |  |  |
| 2.3 | Equipment Utilization | Key equipment fully operational and deployed |  |  | A line shredder in scheduled overhaul |
| 3 | Ash |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Ash Delivered to RLF | Actual Tons | 14,235 |  |  |
| 3.2 | Percentage of Ash Reclassified | <20\% | 6,015 |  | Reclassified was 42.89\% |
| 3.3 | Percentage of Ash used as ADC | > 80\% | 8,130 |  | ADC was $57.11 \%$. See comment in Section 4.3 |
| 3.4 | Rejected Loads of Ash | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 4 | WTE |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Boiler Availability | > 90\% | 77\% |  | Two boiler, 1 TG operation during TG arrester issues. Boiler 1 FD fan drive failure and 4 grate failure. |
| 4.2 | TG Availability | > 90\% | 96\% |  |  |
| 4.3 | Ash Screener Availability | > $95 \%$ | 75\% |  | Driven by screener downtime on large unit which has $2 x$ capacity of small diesel driven unit. While repairs were being made on large unit, experienced overheating issues with small unit in operation. |
| 5 | Cleanliness/Litter Contro |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Citizen Complaints | 0 |  |  | Overall cleanliness of the facility continues to improve. |
| 5.2 | Odor Complaints | 0 |  |  | No issues during reporting period |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDF - Refuse Derived Fuel, WTE - Waste To Energy, VPDES - Virginia Pollution Discharge Elimination System, ADC - Alternative Daily Cover, TG Turbine Generator |  |  |  |  |  |

## 9. E-Waste Presentation

Mr. Mike Kelley, SPSA's Environmental Manager, will make a presentation of possible options for the Board of Directors to consider, should they determine that it is in SPSA's best interests to pursue a regional electronic recycling program.

This presentation is for information only not a request for action at this meeting. What follows is a sample memorandum of understanding from a vendor willing to work with SPSA for e-waste processing. It is provided as a point of reference and is not being proposed for a vote.

March 31, 2022

## Memorandum of Understanding for Electronic Recycling

This serves as the understanding between Southeastern Public Service Authority and Scott Recycling regarding electronic waste recycling.

## Scott Recycling agrees to:

- Supply secure, legal and responsible plastics and electronic waste recycling as well as data destruction services for electronic waste gathered by Southeastern Public Service Authority
- Accept control of collected material.
- Provide free transportation.
- Recycle at NO COST typical e-waste streams.
- Small charge for CRT, light bulb/tube, and battery material.
- Provide free data wipes.
- Provide a Certificate of Recycling for each lot of material recycled.


## Southeastern Public Service Authority agrees to:

- Utilize Scott Recycling for electronics recycling for the upcoming 12 months.

This Memorandum of Understanding should be renewed annually as it is intended to state the elements of agreement between the parties in order to establish and promote continued goodwill between the parties. Either party may withdraw from this understanding by providing 30 days notice.

## CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

Invoices or statements may be sent to Southeastern Public Service Authority at this address:

## 1 Bob Foeller Dr

Suffolk, Va 23434
757-449-5351

Signature of Southeastern Public Service Authority's Authorized Agent:

Date:


Signature of Scott Recycling Authorized Agent:
Date: $\qquad$

## 10. Financial Report

## Statement of Revenue and Expenses - Budget to Actual Comparison

For the month ending May 31, 2022, total revenues exceeded total expenses by approximately $\$ 4.8$ million as compared to $\$ 6.3$ million in the previous year.

Tipping fee revenue received FYTD reflects an increase of $8.4 \%$ or approximately $\$ 3,489,000$ as compared to fiscal year 2021.

For the month ending May 31, 2022, year to date total expenses were approximately $\$ 44$ million as compared to $\$ 38.6$ million incurred in the previous fiscal year.

## Monthly Expense Line Items

This report provides the actual expenses by month by the type of expense. The majority of the line items vary in amounts from month to month because they are either:
(1) dependent on usage, or (2) timing of payment and/or check date.

## Monthly Comparison of Revenues and Expenses

This report illustrates the monthly revenues and expenses. An explanation is included under each graph if there is a sizeable variance. The straight line in each graph represents the fiscal year 2022 budget on a straight-lined basis and the line graph represents the prior fiscal year for comparison.

## Waste Stream Report

This report has been developed to provide the status of tonnages received as compared to the amounts budgeted. For the month ending May 31, 2022, municipal waste tonnages reflect a decrease of $8.1 \%$ or approximately 37,078 tons as compared to May 31, 2022.

## Treasurer's Report of Cash Balances

This report captures the monthly activity in each of the depository accounts grouped as either unrestricted and designated funds or trust funds. As of May 31, 2022 operating cash balances were approximately $\$ 59.3$ million representing approximately $\$ 3.1$ million in the operating account, $\$ 6.3$ million in undesignated fund balance, $\$ 2.5$ million for FY 2021 Rolled Purchase Orders, $\$ 3$ million reserved for the Leachate Evaporation System, $\$ 409$ thousand for Landfill Expansion Purchase Orders, \$19.1 million designated for Landfill Closure and $\$ 21.3$ million for Landfill Expansion and Flyover.

MOTION: Do I hear a motion that the SPSA Monthly Financial Reports, subject to audit be approved as presented?

| Southeastern Public Service Authority Statement of Revenue and Expenses - Budget to Actual Comparison For the Period Ending May 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MAY 2 |  |  |  | MAY 20 |  |  |
|  |  | Adjusted Budget | Month | FYTD | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Adjusted Budget | Month | FYTD | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ |
| revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tipping Fees |  | \$ 45,951,468 | \$ 4,413.039 | \$ 44,945,565 | 97.8\% | \$ 41,756,055 | \$ 3,821.640 | \$ 41,455,971 | 99.3\% |
| Tre program ${ }^{\text {Household Hazardous Waste Revenue }}$ |  | 600,000 | 88,380 | 850,599 | 141.8\% | 600,000 | 51,436 | 472,814 | 78.8\% |
|  |  | 386.650 | 30.732 | 407,958 | 105.5\% | 333,000 | 40,695 | 394.892 | 118.6\% |
| White Goods Program |  | 50,000 | 13,403 | 83,624 | 167.2\% | 40.000 | 6.895 | 67,197 | 168.0\% |
| Landfill Gas Recovery |  | 260.000 | 7.125 | 88.357 | 34.0\% | 260,000 | 15.749 | 202,006 | 77.7\% |
| Miscellaneous IncomeInterest Earnings |  | 268,729 | 30,093 | 431,345 | 160.5\% | 1,429,891 | 98.034 | 1,472,624 | 103.0\% |
|  |  | 450,000 | 90.643 | 285,979 | 63.6\% | 450,000 | 7.429 | 414.723 | 92.2\% |
| Interest Earnings <br> Fund Balance / Capital / Rolled PO's |  | 4,034,338 | 629,489 | 1,316,835 | 32.6\% | 2,542,284 | - | 89,600 | 3.5\% |
| Fund Balance / Landfill Expansiontotal revenues |  | 3,787,832 | 111,115 | 468.507 | 12.4\% | 2,273,166 | 26,679 | 451,556 | 19.9\% |
|  |  | \$ 55,789,017 | \$ 5,414,020 | \$ 48,878.768 | 87.6\% | \$ 49,684,396 | \$ 4.068,557 | \$ 45,021,383 | 90.6\% |
|  |  | \$ 850,964 | \$ 54,334 | \$ 653.439 | 76.8\% | 837,565 | \$ 63,552 | \$ 741,048 | 88.5\% |
| A | Employee Benefits | + 202,110 | \$ 13,050 | \$ 147,920 | 73.2\% | 212,102 | \$ 15,576 | \$ 170.510 | 80.4\% |
|  | Professional / Contracted Services | 691,279 | 31,315 | 366,304 | 53.0\% | 688,063 | 23.560 | 327,247 | 47.6\% |
|  | Other Operating Expenses | 226,970 | 22,071 | 207,424 | 91.4\% | 223.872 | 18,656 | 183.722 | 82.1\% |
|  | Materials / Supplies | 19,208 | 678 | 7.070 | 36.8\% | 17,888 | 2,583 | 8.749 | 48.9\% |
|  | Total Administration | \$ 1,990,531 | \$ 121,448 | \$ 1,382,158 | 69.4\% | \$ 1,979.490 | \$ 123,927 | \$ 1,431,275 | 72.3\% |
| Environmental Management | Salaries / Wages | \$ 327,643 | \$ 18,705 | \$ 230,242 | 70.3\% | 319,891 |  | 269,955 | 84.4\% |
|  | Employee Benefits | \$ 103,908 | \$ 6.761 | + 81.050 | 78.0\% | 114,101 | $\begin{array}{r}24.84 \\ 8.525 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 87,944 | 77.1\% |
|  | Professional / Contracted Services | 76,150 | 187 | 59,287 | 77.9\% | 78,200 | 13.004 | 56.535 | 72.3\% |
|  | Other Operating Expenses | 11,192 | 336 | 3.972 | 35.5\% | 13,700 | 421 | 5,453 | 39.8\% |
|  | Materials / Supplies | 22,860 | 2.064 | 15,652 | 68.5\% | 22,838 | 994 | 9.205 | 40.3\% |
|  | Total Env ironmental Management | \$ 541,753 | \$ 28.054 | \$ 390.203 | 72.0\% | \$ 548,730 | \$ 47,808 | \$ 429,093 | 78.2\% |
| Operations | Salaries / Wages | \$ 5,840,179 |  | \$ $4,804,044$ | 82.3\% | \$ 5,731,396 | \$ 405,032 | \$ 4,832,807 | 84.3\% |
|  | Employee Benefits | \$ 1,949,313 | \$ 146,801 | \$ $\quad 1.627,053$ | 83.5\% | + 1,917,326 | \$ $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$4,832,807 | 85.9\% |
| A | Professional / Contracted Services | 3.085,143 | 189,523 | 2,227.257 | 72.2\% | 2,996,424 | 220.767 | 2,309,120 | 77.1\% |
|  | Other Operating Expenses | 1,089,685 | 102,426 | 787,668 | 72.3\% | 1,053,761 | 87.382 | 849,030 | 80.6\% |
|  | Materials / Supplies | 1,846,171 | 199.510 | 1,725.452 | 93.5\% | 1,813,457 | 132,396 | 1,216,142 | 67.1\% |
|  | Total Operations | \$ 13,810,491 | \$ 1,078,409 | \$ 11,171,473 | 80.9\% | \$ 13,512,364 | \$ 990.198 | \$ 10.853.889 | 80.3\% |
| total operating expenses |  | \$ 16,342,775 | \$ 1,227.910 | \$ 12,943,834 | 79.2\% | \$ 16,040,584 | \$ 1,161.933 | \$ 12,714,258 | 79.3\% |
| CAPITAL PROJECTS / EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CELLS 8/9 PERMITING | 822,725 | 111,115 | 469.486 | 57.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| A | TPS - TRE SHREDDER | 74.543 | - | 59.650 | 80.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| A | ROB - Updade Lighting | 61,798 | 9.865 | 19.821 | 32.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| A | RLF- DEMO/CONSTRUCTON | 29.193 | - | 24.919 | 85.4\% |  |  |  |  |
| A | RLF - UST/AST REMOVAL \& ADD HHW TRAlLER \& SCREENPRINTS | 242,991 | - | 232.084 | 95.5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | HHW TRAILER \& SCREENPRINTS | $\begin{array}{r}7,800 \\ \hline 7.7074\end{array}$ | - | 6,300 | 80.8\% |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | VDOT- FLYOVER RLF-DESIGN BuIL Project | $2,767.074$ $3,420.444$ | 629.489 | 623,472 | 22.5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | RLF- DUMP TRUCK | -442,388 | 62, 48 | 417,388 | 94.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | RLF - PICKUP IRUCK | 32,555 |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | RLF - Wheel wash | 300,000 |  | - | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSP - ROAD IRACTORS | 622,624 |  | $:$ | ${ }^{0.0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSP - TRAILERS TSP - YARD DOG | 630,000 125,000 | - | - | 0.0\% $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | FLT-FASTER SOFIWARE | - | 28.753 | 37.753 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | RLF-LEACHATE EVAP SYSTEM | 100,000 | 699,900 | 699.900 | 699.9\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | HHW - PICKUP TRUCK | 33,572 | - | - | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | CTS - Above Ground scale | 125,000 | - | 122,318 | 97.9\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | NTS - WheEled excavator | 342.109 535,570 | ${ }_{535,570}$ | 342,109 53550 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | NTS - WHEELED LOADER OTS - WHEELED LOADER | 535,570 385,228 | 535.570 385.228 | $\begin{aligned} & 535,570 \\ & 385,228 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100.0\% } \\ & \text { 100.0\% } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | UNDESIGNATED | 104.058 | - |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| total Capital projects/Equipment replacement |  | \$ 11,204,672 | \$ 2,399,920 | \$ 4,909,501 | 43.8\% | \$ 9,479,133 | 60.948 | \$ 3,158,873 | 33.3\% |
| other uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Va. Beach Ash \& Residue Agreement |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |
|  | Salaries / Wages |  | \$ - | \$ - | N/A |  | S | \$ - | N/A |
|  | Employee Benefits |  |  | - | N/A |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | Professional / Contracted Services Materials / Supplies |  | - | - | N/A |  | - |  | N/A |
|  | Other Operating Expenses |  | - | - | N/A |  | - |  | N/A |
|  | Internal Service Charges |  | - | - | N/A |  | - |  | N/A |
|  | Indirect Costs Capital Equipment |  | $:$ | - | N/A |  | - |  | N/A |
|  | Engineering Services \& Permits | - |  |  | N/A |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | Settlement for Closure/Post Closure | - |  |  | N/A | - |  |  | N/A |
| Service Fee to Wheelabrator | Total Ash \& Residue Agreement | \$ | \$ | \$ - | N/A | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | N/A |
|  | Annual Fee Paid over 12 Months | \$ | \$ | \$ | N/A |  | \$ | \$ - | N/A |
|  | Less: Steam Energy Revenue |  | \$ - | \$ - | N/A |  | \$ | \$ - | N/A |
|  | Less: SPSA Hauling Fee |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | - | N/A |
|  | Less: Loading Fee |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | - | N/A |
|  | Less: Liquidated Damages Late Trailer Less: Proprietary Waste Revenue |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | - | N/A |
|  | Less: Fuel Surcharge |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | - | N/A |
|  | Less: Overtime Per Addendum 4 |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | - | N/A |
|  | Less: Cost for Ash Disposal <br> Less: Diverted Waste Disposed by SPSA |  | - | - | N/A |  | - | $-$ | N/A |
|  | Less: Other Credits | - | - | - | N/A | - | - | - | N/A |
| other uses | Net Fee to Wheelabrator | \$ | \$ | \$ | N/A | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | N/A |
| Contracted Waste Disposal |  |  | \$ 2,028,206 | \$ 20,499,911 | N/A | \$ 19.820.929 | \$ 1,756,958 | \$ 17,112,827 | 86.3\% |
| Service Fee to Wheelabrator Addendum 6 |  | \$ 15,173,210 | 1,265,640 | 13,455,106 | 88.7\% | \$ 13,197, 393 | 1,188.162 | 12,789,774 | 96.9\% |
| Waste Hauling \& Disposal Atlantic Waste Disposal |  | 6,820,210 | $\begin{aligned} & 620,365 \\ & 142,201 \end{aligned}$ | $6,308,572$ 736,234 | 92.5\% | \$ 6,623,536 | 568,796 | 5,997,891 | 90.6\% |
| Auffolk Environmental ITust Fund |  | 5,000 | 142,201 |  | 0.0\% | 5,000 | - | -- | 0.0\% |
| Reserves for Landfill Expansion/Closure |  | 6.243,150 | 520.263 | 5.722.891 | 91.7\% | 4,338.750 | 361.563 | 3,977,188 | 91.7\% |
| mip Fee Stabilization Fund Refund |  | - | - | - | N/A | - | - | - | N/A |
|  |  | - | - | - | N/A | - | - | - | N/A |
| Virginia Beach Env ironmental Trust FundTransfer to Operating Reserve |  | - |  | - | N/A | - | - | - | N/A |
| Reserve for tip Fee StabilizationContingency |  | - |  | - | N/A | - |  | - | N/A |
|  |  | - - |  | - - | N/A | - - |  | - - | N/A |
| Contingency total other uses |  | \$ 28,241,570 | \$ 2,548,469 | \$ 26,222,801 | 92.9\% | \$ 24,164,679 | \$ 2,118,520 | \$ 22,764,853 | 94.2\% |
| Grand total expensesNet revenues / (EXPENSES) |  | \$ 55,789,017 | \$ 6,176,299 | \$ 44,076,136 | 79.0\% | \$ 49,684,396 | \$ 3,341,401 | \$ 38,637,984 | 77.8\% |
|  |  | \$ . | \$ $(762,280)$ | \$ 4,802,632 |  | \$ | \$ 727,156 | \$ 6,383,400 |  |

A Budget has been adjusted by $\$ 7,349,877$ for purchase orders rolled over from FY 2021, and projects related to landfill expansion.
Southeastern Public Service Authority
Monthly Expense Line ltems

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Con |  | $\underset{z}{\approx}$ | Bic: | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ |  | No | z | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $0$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}^{0}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | \％ | z |  | $\stackrel{\text { co }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ ¢ |  |  | \％ |  |  | ¢ | $\overline{\text { jo }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | z $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & 0\end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 区- | $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{\mathrm{a}} .$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | No do | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  | Mợ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\widetilde{n}}{\substack{0 \\ \multirow{2}{c}{\hline}\\ \hline}}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \mathbf{0}-\bar{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  | ล | ｜cos |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{y} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\hat{N}_{0}^{0}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | OUN | $\hat{C}_{n}$ |  |  |  | O |  |  | is |  | 0 |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | M |  |  |  |  | 000 | （1） |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{\wedge} \stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{\omega}} \underset{\sim}{\omega}$ |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  | No |  | 융 |  | さ | $\stackrel{N}{\cong}$ | No | ฝั | $\stackrel{\text { ⿳⿵冂𠃍冖㐅㐅⿳亠丷厂犬}}{ }$ |  |  | d | \％ |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{e}{6} \\ & \substack{0 \\ \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Niccome | $\overbrace{\sim}^{0}$ |  |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | － | ¢ |  | － |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\mathscr{L}}{\substack{0}}$ |  | ： |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ |  |  | \％if | N |  |  | N | হু | $\sim_{\infty}^{\infty}$ |  | No | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | － | on |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | Môen |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\substack{0}}{\substack{0}}$ |  |  | （20 | Ọ | m ${ }_{\text {c }}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | ＠ | $\stackrel{N}{\cong}$ |  | ～̃ | は | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ |  | ® | ®．区． | $\stackrel{\text { 内 }}{\substack{0}}$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\sim_{\sim}^{\sim}$ |  | $\\|_{\infty}^{\infty}$ |  | $0$ | （oll |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{c}$ |  | ¢ | ¢ |  |  | － |  | Nos |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | તั | ¢ | N |  | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\frac{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | ¢ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\overbrace{\substack{0}}^{\infty}$ | － | － |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \tilde{n} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{array}\right.$ | （oum |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | $0 \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Na | No | N |  |  | No |  | Now | $\sim$ | ̄̄ |  | 8 | N | L2 | － |  | ） | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\substack{\text { m }}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\lambda}$ | － | a | ¢ |  | or |  |  | （ |
|  | （ | ¢ | Nome | $\underbrace{N}$ | － |  |  | Nin $\sim_{\sim}^{\sim}$ |  | ¢ | Noํ |  |  | － |  |  | 区－ | $\stackrel{0}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{n}{c}$ |  |  |  | 응 | ¢ | 筞 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{n}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | （1） |
|  | $\stackrel{B}{8} \underset{\sim}{i}$ |  | Noccomid | － | ¢ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{9 \\ 0 \\ 0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $寸 \underset{\substack{2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots}}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | N |  |  | Nion | － | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{0}$ |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | Oio | ¢ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | （\％） |
|  | An No Non |  |  | ¢ | ® | $\stackrel{N}{\circ}$ | 吅 | 匈N00 |  |  | \％ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{N}{\square}$ |  | N |  |  |  |  | む | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | － |  |  |  |  | （in | （1） |
| ה | （omes |  |  | $\overbrace{\text { a }}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\sim$ | ¢ | ¢ | （1） |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | ＋ | $\stackrel{\text { m}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{M}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \sim}}{\substack{\text { and } \\ 0}}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 魚 | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | － |  | ： | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | （ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |  | N－ | （en |
| Ac\|c | － |  | co | Oim |  | ¢ic｜e | ¢ | Nociom | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{-}$ | Nom | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ |  |  |  | － |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  | O | ¢ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{y}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | （10） |
|  | An | － |  | $0 \sim \sim c_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \sim \\ \sim}}{\substack{\sim \\ \sim}}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | Nos |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | 0 | Oin |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | N |  | ${ }_{6}$ |  |  | 欠／2 | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  | （er | （en |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\overbrace{i}^{0}$ | $\underbrace{8}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{0}^{8}$ | $\stackrel{e}{c}$ |  |  |  |  | 8 | － | ${ }_{0}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 80 \％¢ | Oiol | O－ | \％ |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{8}$ | A |  |  | O |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\infty}{2} \\ & \\ & \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $8$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （1） | d |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ex } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （1） |  | $8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Southeastern Public Service Authority Monthly Comparison of Revenues and Expenses

| FFY 2022 (bar) |
| :--- |
| -\#FY 2021 |
| $-F Y$ |




* Monthly revenue is dependent on users.




Interest payments vary based on investment date and maturity date.



| Southeastern Public Service Authority (SPSA) Regional Landfill Waste Stream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | FYTD |
| Iypes of Waste (tons) | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 | FY 2022 |
| Construction and Demolition Debris | 14,850 | 9,807 | 9,312 | 6,515 | 609 | 636 | 713 | 1,023 | 765 | 679 | 483 | 574 | 694 | 683 | 573 | 7,432 |
| Water Treatment Plant Sludge | 5,717 | 6,039 | 4,725 | 4,694 | 534 | 528 | 482 | 398 | 608 | 582 | 549 | 571 | 461 | 585 | 552 | 5,850 |
| Industrial Waste | 379 | 295 | 660 | 603 | 46 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 13 | - | 4 | 6 | - |  | 75 |
| Fines C\&D | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| Soils for Use as Alternate Daily Cover | 9,990 | 8,630 | 6,602 | 5,841 | 501 | 517 | 443 | 562 | 70 | 128 | - | 354 | 556 | 437 | 360 | 3,928 |
| Brick \& Block | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| Clean Fill | 26,396 | 5,940 | 97,970 | 2,281 | - | 54 | - | - |  | - | 874 | - | 39 | 9 | 5,337 | 6,313 |
| Peanut Residue/Hulls | 9,366 | 4,023 | 4,907 | 4,555 | 514 | 230 | 296 | 308 | 510 | 256 | 319 | 370 | 337 | 299 | 349 | 3,788 |
| Non-Processible Municipal Solid Waste | 3,791 | 867 | 1,570 | 3,409 | 86 | 97 | 115 | 80 | 105 | 116 | 91 | 81 | 102 | 111 | 154 | 1,138 |
| Navy Waste ${ }^{2}$ | 154 | 359 | 237 | 297 | 23 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 44 | 21 | 33 | 5 | 11 | 176 |
| Contract Processible Waste | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | - |  | 5 |
| Non-Processible Commercial Waste ${ }^{2}$ | 2,631 | 598 | 650 | 891 | 104 | 218 | 206 | 186 | 133 | 72 | 97 | 116 | 165 | 269 | 135 | 1,701 |
| Fluff from BiMetals | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| Concrete/Asphalt | - | 133 | 116 | - | 22 | - | - | - | 7 |  | - |  |  | - |  | 29 |
| Shredded Tires | 4,586 | 5,394 | 6,144 | 6,102 | 709 | 800 | 808 | 751 | 569 | 606 | 853 | 600 | 141 | 94 | 1,244 | 7,175 |
| Ash - Qualifying | 179,361 | 113,979 | 112,585 | 150,882 | 13,658 | 11,883 | 12,114 | 11,665 | 9,912 | 13,594 | 10,308 | 8,499 | 8,211 | 11,775 | 8,130 | 119,749 |
| Non-Qualifying Ash | - | 52,998 | 58,912 | 16,680 | 1,946 | 2,015 | 1,510 | 1,151 | 1,471 | 1,752 | 1,201 | 1,244 | 1,301 | 1,510 | 6,105 | 21,206 |
| Non Processible Waste (from Tst Stations) | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| MSW from FTS, IWTS, STS | 46,011 | 102,702 | 106,908 | 112,006 | 10,293 | 9,652 | 9,873 | 6,159 | 6,164 | 5,965 | 3,891 | 3,889 | 6,879 | 8,355 | 8,242 | 79,362 |
| Clean Fill - Clearfield | 31,709 | 51,540 | 57,872 | 48,453 | 3,287 | 2,795 | 6,143 | 4,555 | 4,895 | 2,873 | 6,332 | 3,440 | 4,914 | 7,371 | 4,271 | 50,876 |
| Residual Waste - Clearfield | 5,585 | 436 | 446 | 817 | 76 | 76 | 19 | - | - | 38 | 113 | - | 95 | 38 | 57 | 512 |
| Div erted Processible Waste lfromisfstation | 201 | 2,518 | 2,127 | 2,252 | - | 1,347 | - | - | - | 873 | 73 | - | 1,531 | 3,363 | - | 7,187 |
| Total | 340,727 | 366,258 | 471,743 | 366,278 | 32,408 | 30,857 | 32,732 | 26,849 | 25,221 | 27,555 | 25,228 | 19,763 | 25,465 | 34,904 | 35,520 | 316,502 |
| Prior to January 25, 2018, Represents CDD from the City of Suffolk and City of Suffolk's Contractors ${ }^{2}$ Boats, Flour, Frozen Foods, Other items too large for Suffolk Transfer Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## 11. Contracts

Tank Pumping Services
An IFB for Tank Pumping Services was issued when the current vendor declined to accept their first option year due to increased costs. This is a contract to furnish all labor, supervision, equipment, tools, parts, and materials, as necessary, for the pumping and hauling of solids from manholes, pump stations, separator tank, a 10,000 gallon underground holding tank, and trench drains using a vacuum inductor at Norfolk, Landstown, Chesapeake, Oceana, Suffolk, Isle of Wight, and Franklin Transfer Stations, and the truck wash at Operations in Portsmouth. The low bidder is a local company familiar with SPSA's operations.

| Bid: IFB 05-22 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Issued: 5/17/2022 |  |
| Opened: 6/2/2022 |  |
| Options: Base Year and 4 Option Years |  |
| Vendor | Base Year Price |
| Stemmle Plumbing | \$263,200.00 |
| Newport News, VA |  |
| East Coast Infrastructure Yorktown, VA | 228,936.00 |
| McVac Environmental New Haven, CT | \$151,300.00 |
| Inlet Construction Norfolk, VA | \$87,000.00 |
| WB\&E Construction Chesapeake, VA | \$65,195.08 |
| Accurate Marine Environmental Chesapeake, VA | \$60,368.00 |

RECOMMENDATION: Authorize the Executive Director to award a contract to Accurate Marine Environmental, LLC for Tank Pumping Services, as presented.

MOTION: Do I hear a motion to authorize the Executive Director to award a contract to Accurate Marine Environmental, LLC for Tank Pumping Services, as presented.

## Loader Tire Services

This contract is to provide tires for heavy equipment at SPSA Transfer Stations and the Regional Landfill. It sets the submitted pricing for the duration of the contract, there are no restrictions as to the number of tires used, and the liability of tire repairs and replacement costs is guaranteed by the vendor. The vendor is also required to perform tire wear monitoring and provide reports to SPSA. This contract was procured under sealed competitive negotiation. Three compelling proposals were provided, and negotiations were held with all three offerors. The proposals offered different strategies for service and different types of tires. Ultimately, Colony Tire, who proposed a mix of solid tires (more durable) and foam filled tires (for greater operator comfort) proved to be most advantageous to SPSA and would result in a cost savings from the previous contract.

Bid: RFP 06-22
Issued: 5/17/2022
Due: 6/3/2022
Term: 5 years
Current Contract Annual Cost: $\$ 512,582.20$

| Offeror | Tire Type | Base Year Cost | Total Contract Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Setco Tires | Proprietary | $\$ 627,800$ | $\$ 3,139,000$ |
| McCarthy Tires | Solid | $\$ 364,500$ | $\$ 1,874,600$ |
| Colony Tire | Solid and Foam Filled | $\$ 450,097.15$ | $\$ 2,250,485.76$ |

RECOMMENDATION: Authorize the Executive Director to award a contract to Colony Tire for Loader Tire Services, pending the conclusion of the protest period, as presented.

MOTION: Do I hear a motion to authorize the Executive Director to award a contract to Colony Tire for Loader Tire Services, pending the conclusion of the protest period, as presented?

## 12. Closed Session

Wetlands Mitigation Proposal
Motion to Approve Request for Closed Meeting.
I move that a closed session be held for the purpose of discussing comments and inquiries received from the Army Corps of Engineers with respect to possible mitigation efforts in relation to the permitting of Cells VIII and IX, in accordance with (i) Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) for the purpose of discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; and (ii) Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(8) for the purpose of consulting with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel.

## Roll Call Vote in Open Session

Motion to Approve Certification after Closed Meeting.
The Board of the Southeastern Public Service Authority of Virginia hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge: (a) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and (b) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting just concluded.

## Roll Call Vote in Open Session

13. Other Business
14. Adjourn

[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Keaton arrived at 9:52 a.m.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. Greene arrived at 9:38 a.m.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Mr}$. Etheridge arrived at 9:52 a.m.

